```
1
                         BEFORE THE
                ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
   IN THE MATTER OF:
                                         )
                                         )
   VERIZON NORTH, INC. VERIZON SOUTH,
 4 INC., and GLOBALEYES
   TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
 5
                                         ) No. 04-0503
   Joint Petition regarding the
 6 adoption of an Interconnection
                                         )
   Agreement.
7
8
                               Chicago, Illinois
9
                               September 16, 2004
10
            Met pursuant to notice at 9:45 a.m.
11 BEFORE:
       MR. JOHN RILEY, Administrative Law Judge.
12
13 APPEARANCES:
14
       SONNENSHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL, by
       MS. SARAH A. NAUMER,
15
       8000 Sears Tower,
       Chicago, Illinois 60606,
         appeared for Verizon North, Inc.,
16
         and Verizon South, Inc.;
17
       MS. BRANDY D.B. BROWN,
18
       160 North LaSalle Street,
19
       Chicago, Illinois 60601,
         appeared for Commission Staff.
20
2.1
   SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
22 Teresann B. Giorgi, CSR
```

1	$\underline{I} \ \underline{N} \ \underline{D} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{X}$			
2	MITMECCEC		OCC DV	EXAMINER
3	WITNESSES:	<u>DIRECT</u> <u>C</u>	ROSS BY	EXAMINER
4	NONE			
5				
6				
7				
8				
9		EXHIBI	ITS	
10	STAFF'S	FOR IDENTIE	FICATION	IN EVIDENCE
11	1		- –	5
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				

- JUDGE RILEY: Pursuant to the direction of the
- 2 Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call
- 3 Docket 04-0503. This is a Joint Petition between
- 4 Verizon North Incorporated, Verizon South
- 5 Incorporated and GlobalEyes Telecommunications
- 6 Incorporated, regarding the adoption of an
- 7 Interconnection Agreement.
- 8 Would Counsel for Verizon enter an
- 9 appearance for the record, please.
- 10 MS. NAUMER: Yes. Appearing on behalf of
- 11 Verizon North, Inc., and Verizon South, Inc., Sarah
- 12 Naumer of the law firm Sonnenschein Nath &
- 13 Rosenthal, 8000 Sears Tower, Chicago, Illinois
- 14 60606.
- 15 JUDGE RILEY: And for Staff.
- MS. BROWN: Brandy D.B. Brown, on behalf of
- 17 Staff of the Illinois Commerce Commission, 160 North
- 18 LaSalle, Suite C-800, Chicago, Illinois 60601.
- 19 JUDGE RILEY: Thank you. And have either of the
- 20 parties been contacted by the CLEC, GlobalEyes?
- MS. BROWN: Staff hasn't.
- MS. NAUMER: Verizon has not, either, your

- 1 Honor.
- 2 JUDGE RILEY: Let the record reflect that on
- 3 August 17, 2004, electronic notice was served from
- 4 the Office of the Chief Clerk of the Commission to
- 5 Andrew J. Akin and Thomas Akin of GlobalEyes
- 6 Telecommunications at their e-mail addresses and at
- 7 their office address in Murphysborro, Illinois.
- Not having heard from either of the
- 9 individuals, we will proceed as we are assembled.
- 10 And, I will ask Staff whether or not a
- 11 verified statement has been filed in this matter?
- MS. BROWN: Yes.
- 13 Staff has filed, what is marked Staff
- 14 Exhibit 1, the Verified Statement of A. Olusanjo
- 15 Omoniyi, stating that there is nothing
- 16 discriminatory and nothing against the public
- 17 interest and recommends approval of the
- 18 Interconnection Agreement.
- 19 Subject to usual post approval
- 20 requirements, specifically, Verizon amending its
- 21 tariff to reference the negotiated Agreement and
- 22 filing a verified statement that the approved

- 1 Agreement is the same as the Agreement filed in this
- 2 docket attached to the verified Petition, without
- 3 the adoption letter, with the Chief Clerk of the
- 4 Commission, we move for the admission of Staff
- 5 Exhibit 1, the Verified Statement of A. Olusanjo
- 6 Omoniyi, to the record, as it is found on the
- 7 e-docket, previously filed September 15th, 2004, and
- 8 bearing Tracking Number 51044.
- 9 JUDGE RILEY: Thank you. And is there any
- 10 objection to the admission of Staff Exhibit 1?
- 11 MS. NAUMER: No objection, your Honor.
- 12 JUDGE RILEY: Staff Exhibit 1 is admitted into
- 13 evidence.
- 14 (Whereupon, Staff's Exhibit
- No. 1 was admitted into
- 16 evidence.)
- 17 JUDGE RILEY: Do the parties have anything
- 18 further?
- 19 MS. BROWN: Nothing further from Staff.
- 20 JUDGE RILEY: From Verizon?
- 21 MS. NAUMER: Nothing further.
- JUDGE RILEY: Then, the only other comment that

- 1 I would make to accommodate Verizons' Counsel, who
- 2 had a conflict at 10:00, we moved this matter up by
- 3 15 minutes. I don't know if that's affect whether
- 4 or not the CLEC would have participated or not, but
- 5 as far as no one had heard from either of the
- 6 individuals who had been served with notice, we
- 7 proceeded as we are assembled.
- 8 And I direct the Court Reporter to
- 9 mark this matter heard and taken.
- 10 Thank you.
- 11 HEARD AND TAKEN
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22